

The Impact of Stock Market Fluctuations on the Mental and Physical Wellbeing of Children

Chad Cotti

Department of Economics, University of Wisconsin Oshkosh
cottic@uwosh.edu

David Simon

Department of Economics, University of Connecticut
David.simon@uconn.edu

December 27, 2015

The stock market crash of 2008 caused a severe impact to households. Earlier research has explored the impacts of a stock market crash on life wellbeing, psychological stress, and adult health behaviors. We extend this literature by documenting impacts of stock market fluctuations on a range of child outcomes; including effects on both mental and physical health. We show a negative effect of a market crash on hospitalizations, child reported health status, sick days from school, and emotional difficulties. A graphic analysis reveals that our results are not driven by a pre-trend of declining child health before the market crash.

We would like to thank the participants at the University of Connecticut Health Economics seminar series for their insightful comments on this paper. We would like to thank Mark McInerney for his excellent research assistance. All errors are our own.

The Impact of Stock Market Fluctuations on Child Health

I. Introduction

Severe fluctuations in the stock market could potentially have widespread implications for the wellbeing of American families and children. In particular, the U.S. stock market crash of 2008 was extremely severe, as the Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) fell nearly 50% from late 2007 to early 2009. The direct wealth impacts of this collapse were widespread, as over 60% of the U.S. population owned investment stock at the beginning of the 2008 recession (Gallup Inc., 2011). Not surprisingly, the substantial variation in wealth and financial stability created by this market decline has promoted the study of many interesting behavioral and psychological questions. This paper attempts to quantify the impact of the stock market crash on one important measure of family wellbeing: the health of children. One reason for focusing on child health, is that children are a particularly vulnerable and relatively under studied demographic group. Studies on child health are additionally of particular interest because child health is a strong predictors for future labor market productivity (Almond and Currie, 2011). At the same time there is limited evidence on a causal relationship between family socioeconomic conditions and child outcomes.

Earlier work reveals the depth and breadth of the impact of financial market fluctuations on health outcomes. In particular, researchers have found that the market crash led to large declines in life evaluation (Deaton, 2011), meaningful increases in psychological stress (McInerney et al., 2012), and sharp increases negative health/behavioral effects ((Engelberg and Parsons, 2015, Cotti, Dunn, and Tefft, 2015). Earlier projects have only focused on adult health and behavior. However, if there are notable impacts on adult health, behavior, and mental wellbeing, the impact of large fluctuations in financial markets may also impact the health and wellbeing of children. Parental stress from a financial crisis or loss of assets in a household may spillover to impact the mental and physical well-being of children. Further, negative parental

health behaviors such as drinking could weaken child health through decreased oversight and resulting injuries. Prenatal and early life exposure to cigarette smoke has been shown to increase sick days from school and medical care utilization (Simon, 2015). However, it is unclear if the negative impacts identified on adult health and behavior would carry over to children's health and wellbeing, or if lost future wealth (or the perception of wealth) may conversely lead to a reduction in children being exposed to risky activities, greater parental attention, and/or personal investment, all of which may improve the immediate health outcomes of children.

In this paper we attempt to directly address these issues by investigating the impact of stock market fluctuations on the contemporaneous health of children; particularly capitalizing on the large swings in value characterized by stock prices of the time period from 2004 – 2011. Understanding how children's health is impacted, is important to understanding the potential impact of financial crisis on population health and children's wellbeing. Our primary findings are that large declines in the stock market harm child health, as seen in increases in hospitalizations, decreases in self-reported health, and increased emotional difficulties. We find no statistically significant effect of fluctuations on increasing sick days from school, though the sign on this variable is consistent with the crash harming health.

Our empirical specification compares fluctuations in the stock market with contemporaneous changes in child health, while independently controlling for the unemployment rate. The coefficient on the log Dow Jones is identified primarily off of the increase in the stock market leading up to the 2008 financial crisis, followed by a steep decline during the 2008 financial crisis and a subsequent sharp rebound in stock beginning around late 2009. A careful graphic analysis shows that a wide range of child health outcomes follows a corresponding pattern. Of particular importance is that there is no evidence of a pre-trend before the 2008 stock

market crash driving the results. Section II discusses background and motivation. Section III discusses the methodology and summarizes the data. Section IV presents the results and discusses the findings. Section V concludes.

II. Background and Motivation

In thinking about the impact of financial markets on health, it is important to recognize the contribution of previous research, which has identified that population health is meaningfully impacted by macroeconomic fluctuations, specifically recessionary effects (typically measured by changes in unemployment rates). For instance, it has been well documented that all-cause mortality in developed economies tends to decrease when the unemployment rate increases.¹ It is hypothesized that behaviors associated with increased mortality, such as consumption of alcohol and cigarettes, are sufficiently normal so that health actually improves when the economy worsens. This explanation is consistent with empirical studies showing that the decline in mortality during times of higher unemployment is concentrated in acute causes, e.g., motor vehicle accidents and injuries, rather than slowly developing causes, such as cancer or kidney disease (Evans & Moore, 2012).² Empirical investigation of such behaviors confirms that changes in these “pathway” causes of acute mortality do indeed fall. Specifically, numerous studies have shown that risky behaviors such as alcohol consumption (Cotti, Dunn, & Tefft, 2015; Ettner, 1997; Freeman, 1999; Ruhm & Black, 2002; Ruhm, 1995), cigarette consumption

¹ This pattern has been documented in the United States (Ruhm, 2000), the European Union (Krüger & Svensson, 2008; Neumayer, 2004; Tapia Granados, 2005), and Japan (Tapia Granados, 2005).

² An important exception to the counter-cyclical relationship between macroeconomic performance and mortality is suicide, which is generally found to be positively related to both the unemployment rate (Ruhm, 2000), other measures of job loss and the duration of unemployment (Classen & Dunn, 2012).

(Charles & DeCicca, 2008; Ruhm, 2000, 2005), and drunk driving (Cotti & Tefft, 2011) are negatively related to the unemployment rate in the United States.

In spite of a large literature on unemployment and health, there is little research on how child health responds to fluctuations in aggregate economic conditions. Dehejia and Lleras-Muney (2004) found that recessions lead to improvements in early life health such as higher birthweight and lower rates of infant mortality. They attribute this change to improvements in the opportunity cost of time for women. Similarly, the mortality rates identified by Ruhm, have also been shown to occur throughout the age distribution, including effects on children through the age of 17 (Stevens et al., 2015). Finally, fertility decreases during recessions which could indirectly affect the maternal and child health within a family (Schaller, 2015). There has been conflicting estimates of the effects of the unemployment rate on the risky behavior of teens with some studies finding that alcohol and drug use, and male weight increase with a weaker economy (Arkes, 2007) with other studies finding decreases in teen alcohol use, sexual activity, and female weight gain (Arkes, 2009).

One particularly relevant study on children is Page, Schaller, and Simon (2015) which studies the relationship between economic conditions, and child health using the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). While the authors find little evidence of overall effects of economic conditions on child health, this masks gender specific differences: with child health improving when male specific business cycle indicators improve and declining with female specific business cycle indicators. However, none of these studies look at the impact of the stock market on child outcomes and given wide range of findings, it remains very unclear how changes in the stock market may affect children.

On the surface, one may assume that improved health outcomes during economic downturns would analogously suggest that health may also improve in response to a decline in stock prices. Yet, recent research on this specific issue has shown the opposite effect. Specifically, during the 2008 stock market crash, Americans reported large declines in life evaluation (Deaton, 2011); exhibited increased symptoms of depression and poor mental health (McInerney et al., 2012); experienced a spike in hospitalizations for psychological disorders (Engelberg and Parsons, 2014), and engaged in more cigarette smoking, binge drinking, and fatal car accidents involving alcohol (Cotti, Dunn, & Tefft, 2015). Recent research on stock market fluctuations has also found that declines in the stock market causes physical and mental health to deteriorate among the elderly (Schwandt, 2014).

A possible explanation for the difference between the recessionary (unemployment rate) effects and stock market effects is that, while fluctuations in the unemployment rate capture contemporaneous economic constraints faced by households, fluctuations in the stock market may predominantly convey information about the future economic environment. Changes in expected future wealth may impact behavior. In particular, Becker (2007) argues that exogenous events that impact individual attitudes about the future will impact behavioral choices. To the extent that fluctuations in stock indices influence expectations of future economic conditions, then the identification of a negative relationship between rates of depression, overall life valuation, and important health-related behaviors with the stock market is sensible.

Moreover, one might hypothesize that the documented changes in psychological stress and depression among adults (Deaton, 2011; McInerney et al., 2012) or sharp increases in negative health outcome and poor health behaviors among adults ((Engelberg and Parsons, 2015, Cotti, Dunn, and Tefft, 2015) will lead to reduction in children's health outcomes as well, if the

effects on adults are generalizable to children. However, the impact on children could also be very different from that of adults. Ultimately the impact is not well understood and, given the literature, deserves attention.

Further, the sub-populations of children that are impacted will differ based on the mechanisms by which market fluctuations impact behavior. For example, if the stock market influences child outcomes due to a decrease in future expected income, then the effects are likely to be concentrated on stock holders; particularly children from higher socio economic status children. On the other hand, if a crash in the stock market hurts children through generating stress caused by new information about the overall health of the economy, then this is likely to more strongly impact those children who are most vulnerable; children from low socio economic status backgrounds. Naturally, these mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and both stock holders and non-stock holders could reasonably be treated by fluctuations in the Dow Jones. Given the emerging research on stock market indices and negative health outcomes/behaviors, investigating how market fluctuations impact health outcomes, utilization, and behavior for children (after accounting for contemporaneous economic conditions) are a natural area of further study.

III. Data

In this paper we use repeated cross sections from 2004-2012 of the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) to investigate the impact of stock market fluctuations on children. The NHIS is a large, nationally representative survey used to track the health trends of the United States population, which provides data on 34,000 – 40,000 families in a year. A significant advantage of the survey is that it occurs at the household-level, so we are able to link children with the characteristics of their mothers and fathers.

We make use of two of the surveys embedded in the NHIS. The Person-Core questionnaire includes demographic and health data on every member of the household. In addition, one child is randomly selected from the household and asked more detailed questions about their health and well-being in the sample child questionnaire. The answers to these questions are provided by a knowledgeable adult, who is the child's parent more than 90 percent of the time. We include all children between the ages of 0 to 17 depending on the outcome³.

Household characteristics that we utilize include family income (in bins), insurance coverage, mother's education, and race. Controlling for these characteristics allow us both to absorb differences between families in how unobserved health status influences unobserved socioeconomic status that might bias our results and to investigate potential mechanisms. For example, by controlling for insurance status we remove changes in insurance as a potential pathway by which stock fluctuations influence health.

We look at several main measures of how child health might change in response to stock market shocks. Specifically, we measure an indicator of a child being hospitalized in the past 12 months and a count of the number of sick days from school in the last 12 months. Both of these measures have the advantage of being reasonably objective and based on actual events. We also utilize a parent reported rank of the health of their children (on a scale of 1-5, with 1 being excellent and 5 being poor). Of note, roughly 50% of the sample reports that their children are in excellent health, making "excellent health" a natural way to bifurcate the data. That being said,

³ We are limited to looking at ages 4 to 17 for emotional difficulties because this question is not asked of younger children. Similarly, we are asked to look at ages 5 to 17 for school related outcomes such as sick days from school.

the results follow a similar pattern when we use the 1-5 point scale of reported health as the dependent variable.

When looking at other indicators of child health, we attempt to limit ourselves to measurable outcomes that are likely to respond to sudden changes in family income. Such changes include family stress, changes in expected future income, changes in health behaviors of parents, and overall fluctuations in the perceived environment of the economy. To this end we additionally look at outcomes that capture whether the child has experienced a definite or severe emotional difficulties in the last 12 months, as well as the number of sick days from school. Table 1 shows means and standard deviations for the major outcomes used in our sample including both outcomes and demographic/socio economic controls.

For our principle measure of fluctuations in the U.S. stock market, we selected the Dow Jones Industrial Average index (DJIA), a market index constructed from the stock prices of 30 manufacturers of industrial and consumer goods, to summarize the market. The DJIA is highly correlated with other broad stock market indices, e.g., the NASDAQ and S&P500, and it is the most widely cited market index in newspapers, television, and the internet.⁴ Specifically, we will primarily utilize the natural log of the DJIA monthly mean daily market closing index⁵, aggregated by month, in creating our principle treatment variables. The DJIA data series was downloaded from the St. Louis Fed's FRED Economic Data web site.⁶

⁴ For a more complete summary of the DJIA see <http://www.djaverages.com/index.cfm?go=industrial-overview> (last accessed August 30, 2015)

⁵ The natural log is used instead of the level for ease of interpretation. Deflation of the market index is not necessary because when logged the inflators are transformed to annual constant shifts in the log index, which are then absorbed by the year indicator variables included in each regression model.

⁶ <http://research.stlouisfed.org/fred2/series/DJIA/> (last accessed December 20, 2014)

In relating the DJIA index to the NHIS health outcome data, we must recognize that the NHIS questions are generally retrospective. For example, “hospitalization” tracks if the child visited the emergency room in the past 12 months. As a result, we utilize the mid-point value of the DJIA index time series in the corresponding 12 month period (i.e. the six-month of the DJIA index). Results prove robust to variations on this scheme.

Lastly, we also merge in data on national unemployment rates, extracted from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, to account for impacts of fluctuations in the unemployment rate on health outcomes (also lagged six-months in the same fashion as the DJIA). As an example of the relationship in trends, Figure 1 graphs the quarterly average of the log Dow Jones closing value concurrently with the quarterly average of monthly national unemployment rates from 2004 through 2012. Starting in 2004, there is an increase in the Dow Jones during the period of economic prosperity of the mid-2000s. This is followed by a steep decrease in late 2008, along with a subsequent rebound in the stock market in 2010. Figure 1 shows that the unemployment rate was also trending downwards relative to the financial collapse, though not at as fast of a rate as the upward trend in the value of the Dow Jones. In addition, after the collapse the unemployment rate remains high through the early 2000s, whereas the stock market recovered much more quickly. The fact that there is a sudden decrease and then steep increase in the Dow Jones is critical for our empirical strategy. Ultimately, our regression models are testing for a similar sudden decrease then increase in child health outcomes that occurs concurrently with changes in the stock market and is independent of the decline in employment that occurred during the great recession.

IV. Empirical Strategy

In investigating how changes in the DJIA impact a range of child outcomes, we estimate versions of the following empirical model:

$$1) Y_{it} = \beta_1 M_t + \beta_2 U_t + \beta_{it} X_{it} + \gamma + \tau + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where Y_{it} is the health of child i which is reported at year-month t . The primary variables of interest are represented by M_t which summarizes the U.S. stock market. Specifically, we define M_t as the natural log of the monthly average daily close of the DJIA index lagged 6-months at year-month, and U_t is the corresponding national unemployment rate lagged 6-months. γ is a vector of year fixed effects, including these insures that the impacts of the stock market on child health only reflects within year changes in child health conditional on the month. Further, τ is vector of month fixed effects; conditioning on month allows us to absorb seasonal variation in child health.

In this model β_1 is identified off of fluctuations in the stock market which are independent of changes in the unemployment rate. One concern with this strategy is that if there was a downward trend in child health prior to the stock market crash, this could cause a spurious correlation between declines in health and the stock market crash. We address this both with a careful graphic analysis of the trends in health relative to the stock market and by adding a monthly national linear trend as a robustness check.

Finally, X_{it} includes demographic and socio-economic controls. Our principle specification includes controls for child age (in categories: 0-2, 5-7, 8-11, 12-14, and 15-17), mother's age (in categories: 18 – 25, 26-35, 36-45, 46 and older), mother's education (high school dropout, high school or some college, and college education or more), race (black, white, Hispanic, other), an indicator for the child's mother being married, insurance status, maternal employment, and family income (in bins of 0 to \$34,999; 35,000 to 74,999; and 75,000 or

more⁷). We additionally test the robustness of our results to variations on this specification. To account for correlation within families in child health, standard errors are clustered at the household level.

Finally, the dependent variables are often dichotomous indicators, such as whether the child is in excellent child health, but can also be a level measure, such the number of sick days from school. While generally speaking, the dependent variables of our estimates are binary, for clarity, we will estimate linear models. As we will demonstrate the results for our dichotomous outcomes are robust to using probit models instead.

V. Results

a. Baseline Estimates

Before discussing our regression results, we first present a graphic analysis of how our child health with the log of the Dow Jones. As discussed above, in this analysis we compare the 6-month lagged Dow Jones with retrospective reports of health, allowing us to roughly match the changes in the two variables. Figure 2 presents this in year-quarter time for the “excellent health” outcome. Figure 2 shows that reported child health and Dow Jones series follow fairly similar patterns. From 2004 through the first quarter of 2008, reported excellent health is increasing with the log Dow Jones. After the stock market crash, reported excellent health continues to increase for several months before declining with the Dow Jones, reaching its minimum point several months after the trough of the market crash. This suggests a somewhat

⁷ Unfortunately, income in the NHIS person file is fairly poorly measured. Income is only reported in bins and the bins are not perfectly consistent over years, but has been standardized for use in our analysis.

lagged impact of the effect of the crash on excellent health (consistent with the retrospective nature of the NHIS questions). Finally, both health and the stock market improve together in the final part of the sample. It is particularly important to note that we do not see a downward trend in health in the quarters leading up to the stock market crash. Such a confounding pre-trend would be one sign that our results were caused by something other than the stock market. While, Figure 2 shows no evidence of a confounding pre-trend, nevertheless, we will verify this in a formal sensitivity analysis.

Figure 3 performs the same analysis, but across all our child health outcomes of interest. Many of the outcomes are more extreme and have smaller means than excellent health status, making the graphs much noisier. We dealt with this by aggregating the health outcomes into yearly rather than year-quarter bins, though regardless of the aggregation method the graphs follow the same overall pattern. Generally, across these four outcomes we see health declining around the time of the market crash and then improving with the recovery. In the case of reported excellent health this is the more aggregated version of figure 2 above. For sick days, hospitalizations, and emotional difficulties, we see these indicators of poor mental and physical health increasing with the market crash. While all four outcomes follow the same overall pattern, hospitalizations and reported emotional difficulties jump around slightly more, making it difficult to learn as much when looking at these graphs.

In order to formally analyze the impact of market fluctuations on child health, we now switch to our regression based analysis. Table 2 reports results for a set of NHIS outcomes and their association with stock market fluctuations. The key right hand side variable is the natural log of the monthly average daily close of the DJIA index. Overall, the results suggest that declines in the stock market negatively impact child health. Specifically, estimates suggest that

during poor market performance, hospitalization and sick days from school increase, overall “excellent” health status meaningfully declines, and definite or severe emotional difficulties increase. These outcomes are notably consistent with recent work studying the health effects of the market declines on adults (McInerney et al., 2012; Engelberg and Parsons, 2015). In particular, our estimates suggest that, during a month in which the DJIA is 10% lower, the likelihood of hospitalization increases by 0.49 percentage points, the likelihood of a parent reporting their child as having excellent health status decreases by 0.84 percentage points, and the likelihood of a child having emotional difficulties increases by 0.48 percentage points, *ceteris paribus*. These are meaningful effects when considered against the mean of each value and in the context of a large stock market crash. For example, these estimates suggest that a 50% decline in the DJIA (as was observed in 2008-2009), would (relative to their respective means) result in a 43% increase in the hospitalization rate, a 47% increase in the likelihood that a child is reported as having meaningful emotional difficulties, and a 7.6% decrease in parent’s classifying their children as having “excellent” overall health status.

As our aim is to isolate stock market effects independent of business cycle factors previously identified as influencing health behaviors, in all models we control for the unemployment rate. Many of the unemployment rate coefficients are not precisely estimated, but when significant they are consistent with previous work reporting that individuals generally participate in healthier behaviors as economic conditions, proxied by state-level unemployment, tend to worsen (Ruhm, 2000).

b. Robustness

To conclude the primary analysis, we explore the robustness of the above results to reasonable changes in our empirical specification and estimation approach. First, while the fully specified

model highlighted in Table 2 is our preferred specification, we demonstrate in Table 3 that the results are very similar when building up to the preferred specification from a more parsimonious model. Specifically, for each outcome measure, we begin by estimating the model without measures of insurance status, employment status, and income. As we systematically introduce these covariates there are only very small changes in the coefficients on the Dow Jones.⁸ Finally, we show that our results do not change after accounting for a national month-year linear time trend that would absorb any trends in child health.

Next, we engage in a falsification test, where we lead the DJIA treatment variable by 12-months⁹. Specifically, in trying to establish that there isn't a spurious correlation between our outcome measures and the stock market measure (all else equal), we lead the stock market measure, essentially forcing the all fluctuations to happen 12-months sooner than they did in reality. A similar finding to our main estimates presented in Table 2 would lend significant doubt to the validity of our current estimates. As can be seen in Table 4, estimates from this falsification analysis show no relationship, helping alleviate concerns over a spurious relationship and providing stronger evidence that identified behavioral changes are a function of fluctuations in the stock market.

Next, while controlling for business cycle effects in our model, it is imperative to separately identify the behavioral response of the stock market fluctuations from business cycle fluctuations. To this end, we do test a sensitivity of our results by excluding the business cycle

⁸ We experimented with different combinations of introducing these covariates, but coefficient estimates remain markedly similar.

⁹ Since our main specification is lagged six months from the month of interview to match the retrospective nature of the health questions, this means the falsification test is a 6 months forward from the month of interview.

controls. The results are very similar, demonstrating that the conditional stock market effects are relatively independent of the business cycle (see Appendix Table 1 for more details).

Lastly, we investigate the sensitivity of some of our empirical choices. We have been using a linear specification for our baseline estimates, so we re-estimate our primary results using a probit (when appropriate). The results are robust to the specification selected (see Appendix Table 2). Also, given that stock market fluctuations occur at the national level, it may not be appropriate to assume that errors are independent across households. There is no meaningful change in statistical significance or interpretation when standard errors are clustered at the year level. See Appendix Table 3 for more details.

c. Sub-Group Analysis

In this section, we investigate whether there is heterogeneity in response to changes in the stock market across different sub-groups. Understanding which specific groups are responding can provide insight on the underlying mechanism impacting behavior. Table 5 – 7 report sample subgroup results that vary by child's age, sex, and mother's education.

We first investigate heterogeneity depending on child age, as shown in Table 5. Results indicate that estimates are relatively consistent across age group, but, generally speaking, the impacts are larger and/or more precisely measured for younger children. This is consistent with earlier work that suggests that early life is a time when children are more susceptible to shocks to parent's socio economic status and mental health (Currie, 2009).

Next we estimate separate models for male and female children, shown in Table 6. Results indicate similar impacts of stock markets declines on hospitalization and emotional difficulties for both male and female children. However, estimates also suggest that the potential

impact of market fluctuations on sick days from school and overall health status, shown in Table 2, seem to be driven by a much larger response among females. In particular, estimated effects on overall health status of females are twice as large as observed among males, and almost four times larger regarding sick days from school. Overall, the general qualitative story is the same for both genders, but the impacts of market declines on children health seem to be heightened for girls.

Table 7 stratifies our results by mother's educational attainment. Specifically, we split the sample by children whose mother dropout of high school (very low human capital) versus a college degree (high human capital). Results presented in Table 7 show that children whose mother was a high school dropout have a higher propensity for increased sick days from school and decreased emotional health during an economic decline. On the other hand, hospitalization effects were focused on high education groups. This potentially suggests different mechanisms between the different outcomes. Children from Low SES backgrounds may be more vulnerable to the general stress and uncertainty induced by a market crash even though those families are less likely to hold stock. On the other hand, high socio-economic status families may have the ability to respond to a health shock generated by a stock market crash by increasing inputs into medical care as reflected by an increase in hospitalizations. We investigate this more in section d. below where we use data from the Survey of Consumer Finance (SCF) to predict the likelihood of a family holding stock.

In general, we find larger impacts among younger, female children. But, we also note, general effects are observed in most sub-groups to some extent, and in some cases larger effects are observed among children of low-educated mothers, which is a group that one would not expect to be explicitly impacted by stock market fluctuations as they are less likely to own stock.

While we take this issue up in more detail in the next section, it does speak to the hypothesis that stock market fluctuations impact overall population health and behavior by providing information about future outcomes, which could impact personal discount rates (Becker, 2007).

d. Analysis of Stock-holders versus non-stock holders

An open question is how the market impacts health. In particular, are the impacts of stock market fluctuation on health the result of a shift in behavior caused by a change in assessment of national wealth and stability by the general population, regardless of direct stock market ownership? Alternatively, are the measured impacts from income effects isolated to the segment of the population those who own stock specifically? In order to provide insight into these questions, we calculated predicted probabilities of holding stock and expected portfolio values conditional on holding stock for NHIS respondents using the 2007 cross-section Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System). We regressed a dichotomous measure of any stock holdings (probit model), reported as stocks or stock mutual funds on SCF variables that could be mapped to the NHIS analysis control variables. These include measures of sex, age, education, race/ethnicity, marital status, employment status, and income. For each NHIS child between 2004 and 2012 we predicted whether their parents owned stock and the natural log of the portfolio value conditional on positive predicted stock holdings using the estimated model. The 2007 SCF model was assumed to be representative of stock holdings among the population prior to the 2008-2009 stock market crash.

Results from an analysis of whether the health of children of stock holders was more likely to be impacted by fluctuations in the stock market are reported in Table 8. Specifically, Table 8 stratifies the sample by predicted stock ownership. The impact of fluctuations in the DJIA on our child health measures is relatively consistent across both groups, but there are

notable differences as well. In particular, the magnitude of the effects on hospitalization and sick days is quite larger for children of stock owning families, while the opposite is true for reported health and reported emotional health. Suggesting that, while the impact of declines in the DJIA on children of stock holders impacts tangible outcomes more, the general emotional stress that is associated with declines in the stock market may impact children of non-holders more through stress-related channels.

Imputation issues aside, these results demonstrate that responses to stock market fluctuations impacted both stockholders and non-stockholders, and helps support the notion that as a leading indicator of economic activity the stock market has effects that are widely shared among the population.

VI. Discussion and Conclusion

The stock market crash of 2008 caused a severe impact to households across the United States. The impacts of a stock market crash on family welfare and behavior have been identified for life wellbeing, psychological stress, and adult health behaviors. We have added to this literature by documenting impacts of stock market fluctuations on a range of child outcomes; including effects on both mental and physical health. Specifically, we show the negative effect of a stock market crash on hospitalizations, child reported excellent health status, sick days from school, and severe emotional difficulties. A graphic analysis shows that our results are not driven by a spurious pre-trend of declining child health before the market crash. Similarly, our regression results are robust to a range of specifications and robustness tests.

One way to get a sense of the economic significance of our findings is to examine the monetary value of one of our most robust and easily quantifiable outcome variables:

hospitalizations. We estimate an effect of a percent decline in the stock market as increasing the likelihood of a child being hospitalized by 0.49 percentage points. On average, the dollar value cost for a childhood hospital visit is \$8,200 per stay (AHRQ, 2013). This suggests that a one percent decrease in the stock market increased the expenditures on hospitalizations per child by \$401. In the context of the financial crisis, the stock market dropped by 50%; representing a loss of \$20,050 dollars from hospitalizations per child. While the other outcomes are harder to quantify, these findings alone suggest non-trivial effects.

We have additionally explored a number of mechanisms by which the market crash could have influenced outcomes. Wide spread effects across subgroups suggests that more than just stock holders were impacted. This lends credence to the interpretation of the stock market crash as affecting behavior, and potentially emotional stress, through changes in information about the future health of the economy.

References

- Almond, Douglas, & Currie, Janet. (n.d.). Human Capital Development Before Age 5. In *Handbook of Labor Economics* (Vol. 4, pp. 773–1823).
- Arkes, J. (2007). Does the economy affect teenage substance use? *Health Economics*, 16(1), 19–36. <http://doi.org/10.1002/hec.1132>
- Arkes, J., & Klerman, J. A. (2009). Understanding the Link between the Economy and Teenage Sexual Behavior and Fertility Outcomes. *Journal of Population Economics*, 22(3), 517–536.
- Currie, J. (2009). Healthy, Wealthy, and Wise: Socioeconomic Status, Poor Health in Childhood, and Human Capital Development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 47(1), 87–122
- Becker GS. Health as human capital: synthesis and extensions. *Oxford Economic Papers* 2007; 59 379–410.
- Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. 2013. Survey of Consumer Finances. 2013. Available at: http://www.federalreserve.gov/econresdata/scf/scf_2007.htm.
- Charles KK, DeCicca P. Local labor market fluctuations and health: Is there a connection and for whom? *Journal of Health Economics* 2008; 27; 1532–1550.
- Classen TJ, Dunn RA. The effect of job loss and unemployment duration on suicide risk in the United States: a new look using mass-layoffs and unemployment duration. *Health Economics* 2012; 21; 338–350.
- Cotti C, Dunn RA, Tefft N. Alcohol Purchases and the Business Cycle: Evidence from the Nielsen Homescan Panel Data. 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2184415>.
- Cotti C, Tefft N. Decomposing the Relationship between Macroeconomic Conditions and Fatal Car Crashes during the Great Recession: Alcohol- and Non-Alcohol-Related Accidents. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 2011; 11.
- Deaton AS. The financial crisis and the well-being of Americans: 2011 OEP Hicks Lecture. *Oxford Economic Papers* 2011b.
- Dehejia, R., & Lleras-Muney, A. (2004). Booms, Busts, and Babies' Health. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(3), 1091–1130. <http://doi.org/10.1162/0033553041502216>
- Engelberg J, Parsons C. Can the stock market make you sick? Working Paper, San Diego, CA; 2013.
- Ettner SL. Measuring the human cost of a weak economy: Does unemployment lead to alcohol abuse? *Social Science & Medicine* 1997; 44; 251–260.

- Evans WN, Moore TJ. Liquidity, Economic Activity, and Mortality. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 2012; 94; 400–418. http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00184.
- Freeman DG. A note on 'Economic conditions and alcohol problems'. *Journal of Health Economics* 1999; 18; 661–670.
- Gallup Inc. 2011. In U.S., 54% Have Stock Market Investments, Lowest Since 1999. 2011. Available at: <http://www.gallup.com/poll/147206/stock-market-investments-lowest-1999.aspx>.
- Klein T. 1986. A METHOD FOR ESTIMATING POSTERIOR BAC DISTRIBUTIONS FOR PERSONS INVOLVED IN FATAL TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS. 1986. Available at: <http://trid.trb.org/view.aspx?id=310222>.
- Krüger NA, Svensson M. Good times are drinking times: empirical evidence on business cycles and alcohol sales in Sweden 1861–2000. *Applied Economics Letters* 2008; 17; 543–546.
- Ma W, Chen H, Jiang L, Song G, Kan H. Stock volatility as a risk factor for coronary heart disease death. *European Heart Journal* 2011; 32 1006–1011.
- McInerney M, Mellor J, Nicholas LH. Recession Depression: Physical and Mental Health Effects of the 2008 Stock Market Crash (College of William and Mary Working Paper). 2012.
- Neumayer E. Recessions lower (some) mortality rates:: evidence from Germany. *Social Science & Medicine* 2004; 58; 1037–1047.
- Page, Marianne, Jessamyn Schaller, & David Simon. (n.d.). The Effects of Aggregate and Gender-Specific Labor Demand Shocks on Children's Health. *University of Connecticut Working Paper*.
- Ruhm CJ. Economic conditions and alcohol problems. *Journal of Health Economics* 1995; 14; 583–603. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0167629695000240>.
- . Are Recessions Good for Your Health? *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 2000; 115; 617–650.
- . Healthy living in hard times. *Journal of Health Economics* 2005; 24; 341–363.
- Ruhm CJ, Black WE. Does drinking really decrease in bad times? *Journal of Health Economics* 2002; 21; 659–678. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167629602000334>.
- Schaller, Jessamyn. Booms, Busts, and Fertility: Testing the Becker Model Using Gender-Specific Labor Demand," *Forthcoming, Journal of Human Resources*.

Schwandt, H. (2014). *Wealth Shocks and Health Outcomes: Evidence from Stock Market Fluctuations* (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. ID 2465333). Rochester, NY: Social Science Research Network. Retrieved from <http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=2465333>.


Simon, D. E. (2013). *Does Early Life Exposure to Cigarette Smoke Permanently Harm Childhood Health? Evidence from Cigarette Tax Hikes* (Working paper No. 2013-21). University of Connecticut, Department of Economics. Retrieved from <http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/uctuconnp/2013-21.htm>

Stevens, A. H., Miller, D. L., Page, M. E., & Filipki, M. (2011). *The Best of Times, the Worst of Times: Understanding Pro-cyclical Mortality* (Working Paper No. 17657). National Bureau of Economic Research. Retrieved from <http://www.nber.org/papers/w17657>

Tapia Granados J. Recessions and Mortality in Spain, 1980–1997. *European Journal of Population / Revue Européenne De Démographie* 2005; 21; 393–422 LA – English.


Figures

Figure 1: Fluctuations in the Dow Jones and the Unemployment Rate by Quarter 2004-2012



Notes: Data on the monthly closing value of the Dow Jones is from the St. Louis FRED Economic Data web site. Data on the monthly national unemployment rate (denoted as “ur” above) is from the bureau of labor statistics.


Figure 2: Fluctuations in the 6 Month Lagged Dow Jones and Reported Health of Child




Notes: The above graph shows the impact of the 6 month lagged Dow Jones on a parent reporting excellent health status for their child. Monthly Dow Jones data averaged into quarterly bins comes from the St. Louis Fed’s FRED Economic Data web site. Mean reported excellent health averaged into quarterly bins comes from the 2004-2012 waves of the National Health Interview Survey data.

Figure 3. Fluctuations in the 6 Month Lagged Dow Jones and Child Health Outcomes


Panel A: Excellent Health




Panel B: Sick Days from School



Panel C: Hospitalizations



Panel D: Reported Emotional Difficulties



Notes: The above graph shows the impact of the 6 month lagged Dow Jones on a parent reporting excellent health status for their child. Monthly Dow Jones data averaged into quarterly bins comes from the St. Louis Fed's FRED Economic Data web site. Mean reported excellent health averaged into quarterly bins comes from the 2004-2012 waves of the National Health Interview Survey data.

Tables

Table 1: Summary Statistics

Demographic Covariates		Outcome Variables		
	mean/sd		Mean/sd	N
white	0.71 (0.46)	hospitalizaiton in past 12 months	0.06 (0.23)	202445
black	0.15 (0.36)	Excellent Health	0.55 (0.50)	202718
other	0.15 (0.35)	Sick days from school in past 12 months	3.49 (6.46)	64710
Mother dropout	0.16 (0.36)	Definite emotional difficulties in 6 months	5.06 (0.05)	70299
Mother highschool	0.24 (0.42)			
Mother some college	0.31 (0.46)			
college	0.27 (0.45)			
black	0.15 (0.36)			
white	0.71 (0.46)			
other race	0.15 (0.35)			
Child's age	8.41 (5.20)			

Table 2. The Impact of The 2008-2009 Stock Market Fluctuations in the DJIA on Children's Health Outcomes

	<i>Hospitalization</i>	<i>School Sick Days</i>	<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>
<i>: DJIA Index</i>				
Ln average daily close, DJIA	-0.049*** (0.012)	-0.736 (0.770)	0.084* (0.030)	-0.048* (0.026)
Unemployment rate	-0.005** (0.002)	0.025 (0.113)	0.0004 (0.005)	-0.004 (0.003)
<i>N</i>	171,465	56,844	171,479	61,657
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.0570	3.49	0.555	0.051

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 3. The impact of the 2008-2009 stock market fluctuations in the DJIA on measures of children's health outcomes, robustness across various specifications.

<i>Panel A: Hospitalization</i>						<i>Panel B: Health Status Excellent</i>					
Log Dow Jones	-0.048*** (0.014)	-0.048*** (0.014)	-0.049*** (0.014)	-0.049*** (0.014)	-0.049*** (0.014)	Log Dow Jones	0.084* (0.044)	0.084* (0.044)	0.084* (0.044)	0.084* (0.044)	0.084* (0.044)
<i>N</i>	171,465	171,465	171,465	171,465	171,465	<i>N</i>	171,479	171,479	171,479	171,479	171,479
Includes Insurance Status	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Includes Insurance Status	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Includes Employment Status	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Includes Employment Status	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Includes Income Measures	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Includes Income Measures	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Includes Linear Time Trends	No	No	No	No	Yes	Includes Linear Time Trends	No	No	No	No	Yes
<i>Panel C: School Sick Days</i>						<i>Panel D: Emotional Difficulties</i>					
Log Dow Jones	-0.646 (0.769)	-0.642 (0.768)	-0.703 (0.769)	-0.736 (0.770)	-0.736 (0.770)	Log Dow Jones	-0.044* (0.027)	-0.044* (0.027)	-0.047* (0.027)	-0.048* (0.026)	-0.048* (0.026)
<i>N</i>	56,844	56,844	56,844	56,844	56,844	<i>N</i>	61,657	61,657	61,657	61,657	61,657
Includes Insurance Status	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Includes Insurance Status	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Includes Employment Status	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Includes Employment Status	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Includes Income Measures	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Includes Income Measures	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
Includes Linear Time Trends	No	No	No	No	Yes	Includes Linear Time Trends	No	No	No	No	Yes

Notes: All models include controls for the national unemployment rate, race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 4: Falsification Test: 12 Month Leads

	<i>Hospitalization</i>	<i>School Sick Days</i>	<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>
12 month lead Ln average daily Close, DJIA	-0.004 (0.01)	0.04 (0.45)	0.02 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.02)
<i>N</i>	159,384	52,798	159,401	57,300

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 5. The Impact of Stock Market Fluctuations on Child Health by Child Age Groups

	<i>Less than 5</i>	<i>Age 5-11</i>	<i>Age 12-17</i>
	Ln average daily close, DJIA	Ln average daily close, DJIA	Ln average daily close, DJIA
<i>Hospitalization</i>	-0.11** (0.04)	-0.02* (0.01)	-0.02 (0.02)
<i>N</i>	48,179	67,640	55,646
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.16	0.02	0.02
<i>School Sick Days</i>		-0.66 (0.8)	-0.73 (1.37)
<i>N</i>		28,926	27,918
Mean of Dependent Variable:		3.14	3.66
<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	0.02 (0.07)	0.14* (0.06)	0.08 (0.06)
<i>N</i>	48,185	67,647	55,647
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.59	0.55	0.53
<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>		-0.05 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.04)
<i>N</i>		29,211	27,969
Mean of Dependent Variable:		0.05	0.06

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 6. The Impact of Stock Market Fluctuations on Child Health by Child Gender

	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
	Ln average daily close, DJIA	Ln average daily close, DJIA
<i>Hospitalization</i>	-0.05** (0.02)	-0.04** (0.02)
<i>N</i>	87,296	84,169
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.06	0.06
Coefficient / Mean:	-93.18%	-75.22%
<i>School Sick Days</i>	-0.3 (0.96)	-1.14 (1.22)
<i>N</i>	29,054	27,790
Mean of Dependent Variable:	3.26	3.5
Coefficient / Mean:	-9.2%	-32.56%
<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	-0.09 (0.08)	-0.2** (0.08)
<i>N</i>	87,299	84,180
Mean of Dependent Variable:	1.65	1.62
Coefficient / Mean:	-5.69%	-12.44%
<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.03)
<i>N</i>	31,481	30,176
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.06	0.04
Coefficient / Mean:	-83.02%	-117.9%

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 7. The Impact of Stock Market Fluctuations on Child Health by Mother's Education

	<i>High School Dropout</i> Ln average daily close, DJIA	<i>College</i> Ln average daily close, DJIA
<i>Hospitalization</i>	-0.007 (0.03)	-0.07** (0.03)
<i>N</i>	36,495	40,669
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.06	0.06
Coefficient / Mean:	-12.06%	-124.2%
<i>School Sick Days</i>	-2.15 (1.74)	-0.12 (0.88)
<i>N</i>	10,355	14,194
Mean of Dependent Variable:	3.32	2.88
Coefficient / Mean:	-64.87%	-4.13%
<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	-0.03 (0.11)	-0.001 (0.08)
<i>N</i>	36,489	40,665
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.42	0.69
Coefficient / Mean:	-7.67%	-11.39%
<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>	-0.12** (0.06)	0.003 (0.05)
<i>N</i>	11,343	15,386
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.05	0.04
Coefficient / Mean:	-243.08%	8.56%

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 8: The Impact of Stock Market Fluctuations on Child Health Analysis Using Predicted Probability of Stock Ownership

	<i>Hospitalization</i>	<i>School Sick Days</i>	<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>
<i>Panel A: Predicted Stock Ownership- Yes</i>				
Ln average daily close, DJIA	-0.11** (0.04)	-3.18* (1.71)	0.06 (0.09)	0.04 (0.09)
<i>N</i>	15,686	5,477	15,683	5,904
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.15	0.02	0.03	0.02
<i>Panel B: Predicted Stock Ownership-No</i>				
Ln average daily close, DJIA	-0.04** (0.02)	-0.43 (0.84)	0.09** (0.03)	-0.06** (0.03)
<i>N</i>	155,779	51,367	155,796	55,753
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.13	0.03	0.05	0.02

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Appendix Tables

Appendix Table A-1: Business Cycle Controls Excluded.

	<i>Hospitalization</i>	<i>School Sick Days</i>	<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>
<i>Panel A: DJIA Index</i>				
Ln average daily close, DJIA	-0.025** (0.010)	-0.870* (0.515)	0.082** (0.034)	-0.027 (0.019)
<i>N</i>	171,465	56,844	171,479	61,657
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.0570	3.49	0.555	0.051

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Appendix Table A-2: Probit Models.

	<i>Hospitalization</i>	<i>School Sick Days</i>	<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>
<i>Panel A: DJIA Index</i>				
Ln average daily close, DJIA	-0.045*** (0.014)	NA	0.085* (0.044)	-0.046* (0.26)
<i>N</i>	171,465	56,844	171,479	61,657
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.0570	3.49	0.555	0.051

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Appendix Table A-3: Standard Errors Clustered at the Year Level.

	<i>Hospitalization</i>	<i>School Sick Days</i>	<i>Health Status: Excellent</i>	<i>Emotional Difficulties</i>
<i>Panel A: DJIA Index</i>				
Ln average daily close, DJIA	-0.049*** (0.011)	-0.736 (0.528)	0.084* (0.018)	-0.048* (0.024)
<i>N</i>	171,465	56,844	171,479	61,657
Mean of Dependent Variable:	0.0570	3.49	0.555	0.051

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, child's age, mother's age, mother's education, mother's marital status, household income, employment status, insurance status, and indicators for year and month. Robust standard errors clustered by household are in parentheses.

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1